

- R E M A R K S / A R G U M E N T S -

Summary of the Examiner's office action

The title of the invention is not descriptive and the abstract of the disclosure is objected to.

Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 USC 112 (1) for claiming new matter.

Arguments

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for his indication of allowability at point 7 of the office action.

Specification

In the specification, the title and the abstract were amended as recommended by the Examiner.

None of the amendments carried out introduce new matter.

Claims

Regardless of whether Applicant agrees with the Examiner's assertion that claims 25 and 26 introduce new matter, Applicant has canceled Claims 25 and 26 to overcome the rejection and allow this application to issue.

Conclusion

This response is being filed within two months of mailing of the final office action of May 21, 2007. This response directly addresses the issues raised by the Examiner and follows the Examiner's recommendations. Therefore, it is believed that a Notice of Allowance can be directly issued by the Examiner.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that claims 11-24 are allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
David Bordeleau et al.

By:

/ Isabelle Chabot /

Isabelle CHABOT
Registration No. 55,764
Patent agent
Tel. No. 418-640-5174

Customer Number 020988
OGILVY RENAULT, LLP